

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,046	04/21/2005	Shoichiro Shimoike	Q87632	5814
65565 SUGHRUE-26	7590 02/13/200 55550		EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW			PHAN, THIEM D	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/532,046	SHIMOIKE, SHOICHIRO				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	THIEM PHAN	3729				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>David Bryant/ SPE</u> .	(3)					
(2) Chid S. Iyer/ Applicants.	(4)					
Date of Interview: 03 February 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>None</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: None.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)  was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>After reviewing the case with examiner Thiem Phan, it was agreed that the objection to the Specification requiring the Cross-Reference to Related Applications would be withdrawn in the next Office Action.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/DAVID P. BRYANT/	-1				
ka	Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 3726				